How Best Practice Organizations Are Structuring Their Physician Advisor Programs
Executive summary

Many revenue cycle offices have long employed physician advisors to help appeal denials, but at top-performing organizations, these advisors are doing more than ever before.

Keep reading to see:

• What characteristics make a strong physician advisor
• How best practice organizations are structuring their physician advisor programs
The Role of the Physician Advisor

Optimal Performance Extends Scope to Mitigate Denials Through Cycle

Denials Mitigation Opportunities Extending Beyond Business Office

- **Patient access**
  - Utilization review/admission decisions, pre-auth education

- **Mid-cycle**
  - Peer-to-peer requests

- **Business office**
  - Clinical appeals

Key Physician Advisor Roles Bridge Clinical-Financial Gap

- **Identify governmental audit risks** (MACs, RACs) via OIG
- **Remove revenue cycle burdens** from hospitalists to-do lists
- **Understand specific clinical requirements** for delivering care under different contracts
- **Strengthen communication** with payer’s clinical decision makers
- **Act as physician champion** for revenue cycle team

Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.
What Makes For a Strong Physician Advisor?

Key Characteristics to Look For

Profile of a Physician Advisor

- MD/DO
  - Background/interest in payer relations, or specialty cognizant of utilization
- Licensed at system facilities
- ~5 years of clinical experience
- Examples of good fits:
  - Hospitalist with broad based clinical knowledge
  - Physicians with clinical leadership experience
  - Specialists cognizant of utilization, such as pediatric hospitalists

Skills and Characteristics

- Clinical understanding of multiple specialties
- Good relationship with physicians and staff
- Strong communication skills
- Comfortable with IT
- Knowledge of regulations (and changes to regulation), appeals process, contract terms

Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.
Proactively Spearheading Clinical-Financial Initiatives

Playing a Broader Role than the “Traditional” Physician Advisor

Audits Announced
Physician Advisor alerts health system of OIG’s announcement of spinal fusion audits

1. Self-review
Physician Advisor pulls 30 most recent spinal fusion charts and audits documentation. Estimated MACs would deny 60% of cases.

2. Physician Education
Physician Advisor shares self-review findings with neurosurgeons, leads education session on proper documentation practices

Results
0% Self Regional’s spinal fusion denial rate
28% Average spinal fusion denial rate at South Carolina hospitals

Case in Brief: Self Regional Healthcare
- General medical and surgical facility in South Carolina
- In 2016, OIG announced a Fall 2017 work plan that included spinal fusion audits
- Self Regional performs a high volume of spinal fusions; physician advisor lead initiative to prepare for audits

Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.

1) Self-audit process used CMS standards to evaluate completeness of the documentation from past cases.
Key to Stronger Payer Relationships

Physician Advisors Can Appeal Directly to Payer Medical Directors

Health Systems with Developed Physician Advisor Programs Seeing the Benefits

“Our team of physician advisors are building a relationship with medical staff at payers, and they are integral in the clinical conversations. The impact they have on medical necessity write-offs is huge.

Director of Business, St. Elizabeth Health

Physician Advisors Uniquely Positioned to Work with Payers

- Develop in-depth knowledge of payers’ preferred clinical care guidelines
- Gain familiarity with past rulings on appeals
- Build close relationship with payers’ medical directors
- Maintain objectivity on cases, as they remain more removed than the attending hospitalists

“The physician advisors have specific skills in negotiation, gamesmanship, and working with payers. They learn which arguments will hold up with the medical director, and can judge which cases are worth fighting for.

Senior Director of RCS Utilization, Novant Health

Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.
# Physician Advisor Program Models

## Detailing Roles and Responsibilities at Leading Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Physician Advisor Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| St. Elizabeth Healthcare  | In-house; 1 FTE, 2 part-time; internal hires, experience with medical group and payers | • Clinical appeals  
                          |                                                                 | • CDI  
                          |                                                                 | • HIM  
                          |                                                                 | • Utilization review  
                          |                                                                 | • Peer-to-peer requests |
| 5 hospital, 892 bed system | In-house; 1 FTE, hired from medical group | • Clinical appeals  
                          |                                                                 | • Utilization review  
                          |                                                                 | • Peer-to-peer request  
                          |                                                                 | • ACO oversight  
                          |                                                                 | • Physician education |
| SELI REGIONAL HEALTHCARE | In-house; 4 FTEs and 4 part-time employees¹, hired from medical group and from external search | • Clinical appeals  
                          |                                                                 | • Utilization review and chair UR committees  
                          |                                                                 | • Peer-to-peer requests  
                          |                                                                 | • Physician education  
                          |                                                                 | • Denials research  
                          |                                                                 | • Medical care evaluation studies |
| NOVANT HEALTH          | In-house; 1 FTE at system level, 1 FTE at each facility | • System Level (1 FTE):  
                          |                                                                 | • Clinical appeals  
                          |                                                                 | • Denials management  
                          |                                                                 | • Facility CMOs  
                          |                                                                 | • Denials trends  
                          |                                                                 | • High level appeals  
                          |                                                                 | • Champion revenue cycle |
| SHARP                  | In-house and vendor-sourced; 1 FTE at system level, with advising from vendor for clinical appeals | • Utilization review  
                          |                                                                 | • CDI and coding  
                          |                                                                 | • Denials management  
                          |                                                                 | • Physician education  
                          |                                                                 | • Case manager, office staff, and nurse education |
| ProHEALTH™ Care        | In-house and vendor-sourced; 1 FTE at system level, with advising from vendor for clinical appeals | • Utilization review  
                          |                                                                 | • CDI and coding  
                          |                                                                 | • Denials management  
                          |                                                                 | • Physician education  
                          |                                                                 | • Case manager, office staff, and nurse education |

¹: denotes external hire

Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.
# Build, Buy or Both?

## Organizations Deploy Physician Advisors Through Multiple Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Outsourced</strong></th>
<th><strong>Combination</strong></th>
<th><strong>In-House</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Expected Benefits** | • Faster program launch  
• Access to large bank of knowledge | • Access to large bank of knowledge  
• Non-clinical appeals responsibilities still handled  
• Allows for initial, on the ground reviews | • Control over appeals decisions  
• Strong organization-payer relationships |
| **Capabilities needed** | • Streamlined appeals process | • Strong physician candidates with payer experience/knowledge  
• Streamlined appeals process | • Strong physician candidates with payer experience/knowledge  
• Streamlined appeals process |
| **Caveats for consideration** | • Less opportunity to build relationships between organization and payer  
• Non-clinical appeals responsibilities of physician advisors not covered | • Potentially disjointed workflows  
• Have to manage a vendor and an internal department | • Requires established internal knowledge  
• HR burden; physician advisors may be a flight risk |

Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.
The Right Answer for Each Organization is Different...

…And Can Change Over Time

St. Elizabeth Healthcare Brings Physician Advisory Services In-House

Shifting Priorities:
- RACs diminished
- Payer relationships hurt by vendors’ approach to appeals
- Physician employees willing to take on physician advisory roles

Developed physician advisory program.
1 FTE is focused on denials, while 2 part-time employees work on CDI, utilization, and peer reviews.

1) Results impacted by other denials mitigation initiatives, including the in-sourcing of other denials management services.

Percent decrease was measured across a 2.5 year time period. Source: Revenue Cycle Advancement Center interviews and analysis.
Evaluate Dollars at Risk

Possible Incremental Savings Opportunity for Organizations

Key Cost and Potential Savings to Consider

Costs

# of FTEs needed (~1/200-250 beds)

Base salary

Benefits

Education and training

Potential Savings

Example Metrics:

• Reduced dollars at risk from Medicare FFS, Medicare Advantage, and commercial denials
• Reduced labor costs in appeals
• Reduced dollars at risk from audits (MACs, commercial auditors)
• Improved CDI
• Improved UR (reduction of hours in obvs, improvement of obvs to inpatient transitions, reduction of inappropriate clinical use)
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